

WAVE-FRONT SETS OF BANACH FUNCTION TYPES

SANDRO CORIASCO, KAROLINE JOHANSSON, AND JOACHIM TOFT

ABSTRACT. Let ω, ω_0 be appropriate weight functions and $\mathcal{B}$ be an invariant BF-space. We introduce the wave-front set, $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$ of the distribution f with respect to weighted Fourier Banach space $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$. We prove that usual mapping properties for pseudo-differential operators $\text{Op}_t(a)$ with symbols a in $S_{\rho,0}^{(\omega_0)}$ hold for such wave-front sets. In particular we prove $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}_t(a)f) \subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$ and $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f) \subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}_t(a)f) \cup \text{Char}(a)$. Here $\text{Char}(a)$ is the set of characteristic points of a .

0. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we introduce wave-front sets with respect to Fourier images of translation invariant BF-spaces. The family of such wave-front sets contains the wave-front sets of Sobolev type, introduced by Hörmander in [23], the classical wave-front sets (cf. Sections 8.1 and 8.2 in [22]), and wave-front sets of Fourier Lebesgue types, introduced in [27]. Roughly speaking, for any given distribution f and for appropriate Banach (or Frechét) space $\mathcal{B}$ of tempered distributions, the wave-front set $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ of f consists of all pairs (x_0, ξ_0) in $\mathbf{R}^d \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0)$ such that no localizations of the distribution at x_0 belongs to $\mathcal{B}$ in the direction ξ_0 .

We also establish mapping properties for a quite general class of pseudo-differential operators on such wave-front sets, and show that the micro-local analysis in [27] in background of Fourier Lebesgue spaces can be further generalized. It follows that our approach gives rise to flexible micro-local analysis tools which fit well to the most common approach developed in e.g. [22, 23]. In particular, we prove that usual mapping properties, which are valid for classical wave-front sets (cf. Chapters VIII and XVIII in [22]), also hold for wave-front sets of Fourier Banach types. For example, we show

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}_t(a)f) &\subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f) \\ &\subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}_t(a)f) \cup \text{Char}(a). \end{aligned} \quad (0.1)$$

That is, any operator $\text{Op}(a)$ shrinks the wave-front sets and opposite embeddings can be obtained by including $\text{Char}(a)$, the set of characteristic points of the operator symbol a .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A18, 35S30, 42B05, 35H10.

Key words and phrases. Wave-front, Fourier, Banach, modulation, micro-local.

The symbol classes for the pseudo-differential operators are denoted by $S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, the set of all smooth functions a on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$ such that $a/\omega_0 \in S_{\rho,\delta}^0(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Here $\rho, \delta \in \mathbf{R}$ and ω_0 is an appropriate smooth function on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$. We note that $S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ agrees with the Hörmander class $S_{\rho,\delta}^r(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ when $\omega_0(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^r$, where $r \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$.

The set of characteristic points $\text{Char}(a)$ of $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega)}$ is the same as in [27], and depends on the choices of ρ , δ and ω (see Definition 1.8 and Proposition 2.3). We recall that this set is smaller than the set of characteristic points given by [22]. It is empty when a satisfies a local ellipticity condition with respect to ω , which is fulfilled for any hypoelliptic partial differential operator with constant coefficients (cf. [27]). As a consequence of (0.1), it follows that such hypoelliptic operators preserve the wave-front sets, as expected (cf. Example 3.9 in [27]).

Information on regularity in background of wave-front sets of Fourier Banach types might be more detailed compared to classical wave-front sets, because of our choices of different weight functions ω and Banach spaces when defining our Fourier Banach space $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)(\mathbf{R}^d)$. For example, the space $\mathcal{FB}(\omega) = \mathcal{FL}_{(\omega)}^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, with $\omega(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^N$ for some integer $N \geq 0$, is locally close to $C^N(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (cf. the Introduction of [27]). Consequently, the wave-front set with respect to $\mathcal{FL}_{(\omega)}^1$ can be used to investigate a sort of regularity which is close to smoothness of order N .

Furthermore, we are able to apply our results on pseudo-differential operators in context of modulation space theory, when discussing mapping properties of pseudo-differential operators with respect to wave-front sets. The modulation spaces were introduced by Feichtinger in [5], and the theory was developed in [7–9, 13]. The modulation space $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$, where ω is a weight function (or time-frequency shift) on phase space $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, appears as the set of tempered (ultra-)distributions whose short-time Fourier transform belong to the weighted Banach space $\mathcal{B}(\omega)$. These types of modulation spaces contains the (classical) modulation spaces $M_{(\omega)}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ as well as the space $W_{(\omega)}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ related to the Wiener amalgam spaces, by choosing $\mathcal{B} = L_1^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $\mathcal{B} = L_2^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ respectively (see Remark 6.1). In the last part of the paper we define wave-front sets with respect to weighted modulation spaces, and prove that they coincide with the wave-front sets of Fourier Banach types.

Parallel to this development, modulation spaces have been incorporated into the calculus of pseudo-differential operators, in the sense of the study of continuity of (classical) pseudo-differential operators acting on modulation spaces (cf. [4, 25, 26, 33–35]), and the study of operators of non-classical type, where modulation spaces are used as symbol classes. We refer to [14–18, 20, 21, 25, 30, 31, 36–38, 40] for more

facts about pseudo-differential operators in background of modulation space theory.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall the definition and basic properties for pseudo-differential operators, translation invariant Banach function spaces (BF-spaces) and (weighted) Fourier Banach spaces. Here we also define sets of characteristic points for a broad class of pseudo-differential operators. In Section 2 we prove some properties for the sets of characteristic points, which shows that our definition coincide with the sets of characteristic points defined in [27]. These sets might be smaller than characteristic sets in [22] (cf. [27, Example 3.11]).

In Section 3 we define wave-front sets with respect to (weighted) Fourier Banach spaces, and prove some of their main properties. Thereafter, in Section 4 we show how these wave-front sets are propagated under the action of pseudo-differential operators. In particular, we prove (0.1), when ω_0 and ω are appropriate weights and a belongs to $S_{\rho,0}^{(\omega_0)}$ with $\rho > 0$.

In Section 5 we consider wave-front sets obtained from sequences of Fourier Banach spaces. These types of wave-front sets contain the classical ones (with respect to smoothness), and the mapping properties for pseudo-differential operators also hold in this context (cf. Section 18.1 in [22]).

Finally, Section 6 is devoted to study the definition and basic properties of wave-front sets with respect to modulation spaces. We prove that they can be identified with certain wave-front sets of Fourier Banach types.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some notation and basic results. The proofs are in general omitted. In what follows we let Γ denote an open cone in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$. If $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ is fixed, then an open cone which contains ξ is sometimes denoted by Γ_ξ .

Assume that $\omega, v \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are positive functions. Then ω is called v -moderate if

$$\omega(x+y) \leq C\omega(x)v(y) \quad (1.1)$$

for some constant C which is independent of $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$. If v in (1.1) can be chosen as a polynomial, then ω is called polynomially moderate. We let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all polynomially moderated functions on $\mathbf{R}^d$. We say that v is *submultiplicative* when (1.1) holds with $\omega = v$. Throughout we assume that the submultiplicative weights are even. If $\omega(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is constant with respect to the x -variable (ξ -variable), then we sometimes write $\omega(\xi)$ ($\omega(x)$) instead of $\omega(x, \xi)$. In this case we consider ω as an element in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ or in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ depending on the situation.

We also need to consider classes of weight functions, related to $\mathcal{P}$. More precisely, we let $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\partial^\alpha \omega / \omega \in L^\infty$ for all multi-indices α . For each $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, there is an equivalent weight $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$, that is, $C^{-1}\omega_0 \leq \omega \leq C\omega_0$ holds for some constant C (cf. [38, Lemma 1.2]).

Assume that $\rho, \delta \in \mathbf{R}$. Then we let $\mathcal{P}_{\rho, \delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be the set of all $\omega(x, \xi)$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that

$$\langle \xi \rangle^{\rho|\beta| - \delta|\alpha|} \frac{(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \omega)(x, \xi)}{\omega(x, \xi)} \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d}),$$

for every multi-indices α and β . Note that in contrast to $\mathcal{P}_0$, we do not have an equivalence between $\mathcal{P}_{\rho, \delta}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ when $\rho > 0$. On the other hand, if $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\rho \in [0, 1]$, then $\mathcal{P}_{\rho, \delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ contains $\omega(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^s$, which are one of the most important classes in the applications.

For any weight ω in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ or in $\mathcal{P}_{\rho, \delta}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we let $L_{(\omega)}^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $f \cdot \omega \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ is the linear and continuous mapping on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which takes the form

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \equiv (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x) e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} dx$$

when $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$. We recall that $\mathcal{F}$ is a homeomorphism on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which restricts to a homeomorphism on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Next we recall the definition of Banach function spaces.

Definition 1.1. Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a Banach space of complex-valued measurable functions on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and that $v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is submultiplicative. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is called a *(translation) invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$* (with respect to v), if there is a constant C such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (continuous embeddings);
- (2) if $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}$, then $f(\cdot - x) \in \mathcal{B}$, and

$$\|f(\cdot - x)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq Cv(x)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}; \quad (1.2)$$

- (3) if $f, g \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ satisfy $g \in \mathcal{B}$ and $|f| \leq |g|$ almost everywhere, then $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space. If $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $h \in L^\infty$, then it follows from (3) in Definition 1.1 that $f \cdot h \in \mathcal{B}$ and

$$\|f \cdot h\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}\|h\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (1.3)$$

Remark 1.2. Assume that $\omega_0, v, v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are such v and v_0 are submultiplicative, ω_0 is v_0 -moderate, and assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation-invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ with respect to v . Also let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be the Banach

space which consists of all $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_0} \equiv \|f \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is finite. Then $\mathcal{B}_0$ is a translation invariant BF-space with respect to $v_0 v$.

Remark 1.3. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an invariant BF-space. Then it is easy to find Sobolev type spaces which are continuously embedded in $\mathcal{B}$. In fact, for each $p \in [1, \infty]$ and integer $N \geq 0$, let $Q_N^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\|f\|_{Q_N^p} < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{Q_N^p} \equiv \sum_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq N} \|x^\alpha D^\beta f\|_{L^p}.$$

Then for each p fixed, the topology for $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ can be defined by the semi-norms $f \mapsto \|f\|_{Q_N^p}$, for $N = 0, 1, \dots$.

A combination of this fact and (1) and (3) in Definition 1.1 now shows that for each $p \in [1, \infty]$ and each translation invariant BF-space $\mathcal{B}$, there is an integer $N \geq 0$ such that $Q_N^p(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, let $L_N^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the set of all $f \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $f \langle \cdot \rangle^N \in L^\infty$. Then, since any element in L_N^∞ can be majorized with an element in Q_N^∞ , it follows from (3) in Definition 1.1 that $L_N^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, provided N is chosen large enough. This proves the assertion.

For future references we note that if $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space with respect to the submultiplicative weight v on $\mathbf{R}^d$, then the convolution map $*$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ extends uniquely to a continuous mapping from $\mathcal{B} \times L^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and for some constant C it holds

$$\|\varphi * f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^1_{(v)}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \varphi \in L^1_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (1.4)$$

In fact, if $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and g is a step function, then $f * g$ is well-defined and belongs to $\mathcal{B}$ in view of the definitions, and Minkowski's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_{\mathcal{B}} &= \left\| \int f(\cdot - y) g(y) dy \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq \int \|f(\cdot - y)\|_{\mathcal{B}} |g(y)| dy \leq C \int \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} |g(y) v(y)| dy = C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \|g\|_{L^1_{(v)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $g \in C_0^\infty$. Then $f * g$ is well-defined as an element in $\mathcal{S}' \cap C^\infty$, and by approximating g with step functions and using (1.4) it follows that $f * g \in \mathcal{B}$ and that (1.4) holds also in this case. The assertion now follows from this fact and a simple argument of approximations, using the fact that C_0^∞ is dense in $L^1_{(v)}$.

For each translation invariant BF-space $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathbf{R}^d$, and each pair of vector spaces (V_1, V_2) such that $V_1 \oplus V_2 = \mathbf{R}^d$, we define the projection spaces $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ of $\mathcal{B}$ by the formulae

$$\mathcal{B}_1 \equiv \{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(V_1); f \otimes \varphi \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V_2) \} \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_2 \equiv \{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(V_2); \varphi \otimes f \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V_1) \}. \quad (1.6)$$

Proposition 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$, and let $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ be the same as in (1.5) and (1.6). Then*

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(V_1); f \otimes \varphi \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for some } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V_2) \setminus 0 \} \quad (1.5)'$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(V_2); \varphi \otimes f \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for some } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V_1) \setminus 0 \}. \quad (1.6)'$$

In particular, if $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{S}(V_j) \setminus 0$ for $j = 1, 2$ are fixed and $f_1 \in \mathcal{S}'(V_1)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}'(V_2)$, then $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ are translation invariant BF-spaces under the norms

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \equiv \|f \otimes \varphi_1\|_{\mathcal{B}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \equiv \|\varphi_2 \otimes f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

respectively.

Proof. We only prove (1.6)'. The other equality follows by similar arguments and is left for the reader. We may assume that $V_j = \mathbf{R}^{d_j}$ with $d_1 + d_2 = d$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be the right-hand side of (1.6)'. Then it is obvious that $\mathcal{B}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_0$. We have to prove the opposite inclusion.

Therefore, assume that $f \in \mathcal{B}_0$, and choose $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1}) \setminus 0$ such that $\varphi_0 \otimes f \in \mathcal{B}$. Also let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{d_1})$ be arbitrary. We shall prove that $\varphi \otimes f \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let $Q \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$ be an open ball and $c > 0$ be chosen such that $|\varphi_0(x)| > c$ when $x \in Q$. Also let the lattice $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{d_1}$ and $\varphi_1 \in C_0^\infty(Q)$ be such that $0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq 1$ and

$$\sum_{\{x_j\} \in \Lambda} \varphi_1(\cdot - x_j) = 1.$$

Then $\varphi_1 \leq C|\varphi_0|$, for some constant $C > 0$, which gives

$$\|\varphi_1 \otimes f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C\|\varphi_0 \otimes f\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty.$$

This in turn gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi \otimes f\|_{\mathcal{B}} &\leq \sum \|(\varphi_1(\cdot - x_j)\varphi) \otimes f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq \sum v(x_j, 0) \|(\varphi_1\varphi(\cdot + x_j)) \otimes f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum v(x_j, 0) \|\varphi(\cdot + x_j)\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \right) \|\varphi_1 \otimes f\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Since $v \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, it follows that the sum in the right-hand side of (1.7) is finite. Hence $f \in \mathcal{B}_2$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 1.5. We note that the last sum in (1.7) is the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{W_{(v)}} \equiv \sum v(x_j, 0) \|\varphi(\cdot + x_j)\|_{L^\infty(Q)}$$

for the weighted Wiener space

$$W_{(v)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \{f \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d); \|f\|_{W_{(v)}} < \infty\}$$

(cf. [14]). The results in Proposition 1.4 can therefore be improved in such way that we may replace $\mathcal{S}$ by $W_{(v)}$ in (1.5), (1.6), (1.5)' and (1.6)'.

Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$, and that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then we let $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\xi \mapsto \widehat{f}(\xi)\omega(x, \xi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}$. It follows that $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)} \equiv \|\widehat{f}\omega\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \quad (1.8)$$

Remark 1.6. In many situations it is convenient to permit an x dependency for the weight ω in the definition of Fourier Banach spaces. More precisely, for each $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and each translation invariant BF-space $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathbf{R}^d$, we let $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega), x} \equiv \|\widehat{f}\omega(x, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

is finite. Since ω is v -moderate for some $v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ it follows that different choices of x give rise to equivalent norms. Therefore the condition $\|f\|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)} < \infty$ is independent of x , and it follows that $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is independent of x although $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}$ might depend on x .

Recall that a topological vector space $V \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(X)$ is called *local* if $V \subseteq V_{loc}$. Here $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ is open, and V_{loc} consists of all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ such that $\varphi f \in V$ for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$. For future references we note that if $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then it follows from (1.4) that $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ is a local space, i.e.

$$\mathcal{FB}(\omega) \subseteq \mathcal{FB}(\omega)_{loc} \equiv (\mathcal{FB}(\omega))_{loc}. \quad (1.9)$$

We need to recall some facts from Chapter XVIII in [22] concerning pseudo-differential operators. Let $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and $t \in \mathbf{R}$ be fixed. Then the pseudo-differential operator $\text{Op}_t(a)$ is the linear and continuous operator on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, defined by the formula

$$(\text{Op}_t(a)f)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \iint a((1-t)x + ty, \xi) f(y) e^{i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} dy d\xi. \quad (1.10)$$

For general $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, the pseudo-differential operator $\text{Op}_t(a)$ is defined as the continuous operator from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with distribution kernel

$$K_{t,a}(x, y) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} (\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}a)((1-t)x + ty, x - y). \quad (1.11)$$

Here $\mathcal{F}_2 F$ is the partial Fourier transform of $F(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ with respect to the y -variable. This definition makes sense, since the mappings $\mathcal{F}_2$ and

$$F(x, y) \mapsto F((1-t)x + ty, x - y)$$

are homeomorphisms on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. We also note that the latter definition of $\text{Op}_t(a)$ agrees with the operator in (1.10) when $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. If $t = 0$, then $\text{Op}_t(a)$ agrees with the Kohn-Nirenberg representation $\text{Op}(a) = a(x, D)$.

If $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$, then there is a unique $b \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $\text{Op}_s(a) = \text{Op}_t(b)$. By straight-forward applications of Fourier's inversion formula, it follows that

$$\text{Op}_s(a) = \text{Op}_t(b) \iff b(x, \xi) = e^{i(t-s)\langle D_x, D_\xi \rangle} a(x, \xi). \quad (1.12)$$

(Cf. Section 18.5 in [22].)

Next we discuss symbol classes which we use. Let $r, \rho, \delta \in \mathbf{R}$ be fixed. Then we recall from [22] that $S_{\rho, \delta}^r(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is the set of all $a \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that for each pairs of multi-indices α and β , there is a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{r - \rho|\beta| + \delta|\alpha|}.$$

Usually we assume that $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$, $0 < \rho$ and $\delta < 1$.

More generally, assume that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho, \delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Then we recall from the introduction that $S_{\rho, \delta}^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ consists of all $a \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \omega(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\beta| + \delta|\alpha|}. \quad (1.13)$$

We note that $S_{\rho, \delta}^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) = S(\omega, g_{\rho, \delta})$, when $g = g_{\rho, \delta}$ is the Riemannian metric on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, defined by the formula

$$(g_{\rho, \delta})_{(y, \eta)}(x, \xi) = \langle \eta \rangle^{2\delta} |x|^2 + \langle \eta \rangle^{-2\rho} |\xi|^2$$

(cf. Section 18.4–18.6 in [22]). Furthermore, $S_{\rho, \delta}^{(\omega)} = S_{\rho, \delta}^r$ when $\omega(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^r$, as remarked in the introduction.

The following result shows that pseudo-differential operators with symbols in $S_{\rho, \delta}^{(\omega)}$ behave well. We refer to [22] or [27] for the proof.

Proposition 1.7. *Let $\rho, \delta \in [0, 1]$ be such that $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ and $\delta < 1$, and let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho, \delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. If $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then $\text{Op}_t(a)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and extends uniquely to a continuous operator on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

We also need to define the set of characteristic points of a symbol $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, when $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho, \delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$. In Section 2 we show that this definition is equivalent to Definition 1.3 in [27]. We remark that our sets of characteristic points are smaller than the corresponding sets in [22]. (Cf. [22, Definition 18.1.5] and Remark 2.4 in Section 2).

Definition 1.8. Assume that $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$, $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Then a is called *ψ -invertible* with respect to ω_0 at the point $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0)$, if there exist a neighbourhood X of x_0 , an open conical neighbourhood Γ of ξ_0 and positive constants R and C such that

$$|a(x, \xi)| \geq C\omega_0(x, \xi), \quad (1.14)$$

for $x \in X$, $\xi \in \Gamma$ and $|\xi| \geq R$.

The point (x_0, ξ_0) is called *characteristic* for a with respect to ω_0 if a is *not* ψ -invertible with respect to ω_0 at (x_0, ξ_0) . The set of characteristic points (the characteristic set), for a with respect to ω_0 is denoted $\text{Char}(a) = \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a)$.

We note that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a)$ means that a is elliptic near x_0 in the direction ξ_0 . Since the case $\omega_0 = 1$ in Definition 1.8 is especially important we also make the following definition. We say that $c \in S_{\rho,\delta}^0(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is *ψ -invertible* at $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0)$, if $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(c)$ with $\omega_0 = 1$. That is, there exist a neighbourhood X of x_0 , an open conical neighbourhood Γ of ξ_0 and $R > 0$ such that (1.14) holds for $a = c$ and $\omega_0 = 1$, for some constant $C > 0$ which is independent of $x \in X$ and $\xi \in \Gamma$ such that $|\xi| \geq R$.

It will also be convenient to have the following definition of different types of cutoff functions.

Definition 1.9. Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ be open, $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ be an open cone, $x_0 \in X$ and let $\xi_0 \in \Gamma$.

- (1) A smooth function φ on $\mathbf{R}^d$ is called a cutoff function with respect to x_0 and X , if $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$ and $\varphi = 1$ in an open neighbourhood of x_0 . The set of cutoff functions with respect to x_0 and X is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(X)$;
- (2) A smooth function ψ on $\mathbf{R}^d$ is called a directional cutoff function with respect to ξ_0 and Γ , if there is a constant $R > 0$ and open conical neighbourhood Γ_1 of ξ_0 such that the following is true:
 - $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ and $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq \Gamma$;
 - $\psi(t\xi) = \psi(\xi)$ when $t \geq 1$ and $|\xi| \geq R$;
 - $\psi(\xi) = 1$ when $\xi \in \Gamma_1$ and $|\xi| \geq R$.

The set of directional cutoff functions with respect to ξ_0 and Γ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\xi_0}^{\text{dir}}(\Gamma)$.

Remark 1.10. We note that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{x_0}(X)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{\xi_0}^{\text{dir}}(\Gamma)$ for some $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0)$, then $c \equiv \varphi \otimes \psi$ belongs to $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and is ψ -invertible at (x_0, ξ_0) .

2. PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS WITH SYMBOLS IN $S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega)}$

In this section we make a review of basic results for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in classes of the form $S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, when $0 \leq \delta <$

$\rho \leq 1$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. For the standard properties in the pseudo-differential calculus we only state the results and refer to [22] for the proofs. Though there are similar stated and proved properties concerning sets of characteristic points, we include proofs of these properties in order to being more self-contained.

We start with the following result concerning compositions and invariance properties for pseudo-differential operators. Here we let

$$\sigma_s(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^s,$$

where $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ as usual. We also recall that $S_{\rho,\delta}^{-\infty} = S_{1,0}^{-\infty}$ consists of all $a \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that for each $N \in \mathbf{R}$ and multi-index α , there is a constant $C_{N,\alpha}$ such that

$$|\partial^\alpha a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{N,\alpha} \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$, $\mu = \rho - \delta > 0$ and $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Also let $\{m_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $m_j \rightarrow -\infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following is true:*

- (1) *if $a_1 \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_1)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $a_2 \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then $\text{Op}(a_1) \circ \text{Op}(a_2) = \text{Op}(c)$, for some $c \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_1\omega_2)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Furthermore,*

$$c(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{i^{|\alpha|} (D_\xi^\alpha a_1)(x, \xi) (D_x^\alpha a_2)(x, \xi)}{\alpha!} \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_1\omega_2\sigma_{-N\mu})}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \quad (2.1)$$

for every $N \geq 0$;

- (2) *if $M = \sup_{k \geq 0} (m_k)$, $M_j = \sup_{k \geq j} (m_k)$ and $a_j \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega\sigma_{m_j})}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then it exists $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega\sigma_M)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that*

$$a(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} a_j(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega\sigma_{M_N})}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}); \quad (2.2)$$

for every $N \geq 0$;

- (3) *if $a, b \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$ are such that $\text{Op}_s(a) = \text{Op}_t(b)$, then $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, if and only if $b \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and*

$$b(x, \xi) - \sum_{k < N} \frac{(i(t-s)\langle D_x, D_\xi \rangle)^k a(x, \xi)}{k!} \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega\sigma_{-N\mu})}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \quad (2.3)$$

for every $N \geq 0$.

As usual we write

$$a \sim \sum a_j \quad (2.2)'$$

when (2.2) is fulfilled for every $N \geq 0$. In particular it follows from (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$c \sim \sum \frac{i^{|\alpha|} (D_\xi^\alpha a_1)(D_x^\alpha a_2)}{\alpha!} \quad (2.1)'$$

when $\text{Op}(a_1) \circ \text{Op}(a_2) = \text{Op}(c)$, and

$$b \sim \sum \frac{(i(t-s)\langle D_x, D_\xi \rangle)^k a}{k!} \quad (2.3)'$$

when $\text{Op}_s(a) = \text{Op}_t(b)$.

In the following proposition we show that the set of characteristic points for a pseudo-differential operator is independent of the choice of pseudo-differential calculus.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$, $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$, $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho, \delta}$ and that $a, b \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ satisfy $\text{Op}_s(a) = \text{Op}_t(b)$. Then*

$$\text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a) = \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(b). \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Let μ and σ_s be the same as in the proof of Proposition 2.1. By Proposition 2.1 (3) we have

$$b = a + h,$$

for some $h \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{(\omega_0 \sigma - \mu)}$.

Assume that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a)$. By the definitions, there is a neighbourhood X of x_0 , an open conical neighbourhood Γ of ξ_0 , $C > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that

$$|a(x, \xi)| \geq C\omega_0(x, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad |h(x, \xi)| \leq C\omega_0(x, \xi)/2,$$

as $x \in X$, $\xi \in \Gamma$ and $|\xi| \geq R$. This gives

$$|b(x, \xi)| \geq C\omega_0(x, \xi)/2, \quad \text{when} \quad x \in X, \xi \in \Gamma, |\xi| \geq R,$$

and it follows that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(b)$. Hence $\text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(b) \subseteq \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a)$. By symmetry, the opposite inclusion also holds. Hence $\text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a) = \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(b)$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

The following proposition shows different aspects of set of characteristic points, and is important when investigating wave-front properties for pseudo-differential operators. In particular it shows that $\text{Op}(a)$ satisfy certain invertibility properties outside the set of characteristic points for a . More precisely, outside $\text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a)$, we prove that

$$\text{Op}(b) \text{Op}(a) = \text{Op}(c) + \text{Op}(h), \quad (2.5)$$

for some convenient b, c and h which take the role of inverse, identity symbol and smoothing remainder respectively.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$, $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho, \delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0)$, and let $\mu = \rho - \delta$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a)$;
- (2) *there is an element $c \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0$ which is ψ -invertible at (x_0, ξ_0) , and an element $b \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{(1/\omega_0)}$ such that $ab = c$;*

- (3) there is an element $c \in S_{\rho,\delta}^0$ which is ψ -invertible at (x_0, ξ_0) , and elements $h \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{-\mu}$ and $b \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(1/\omega_0)}$ such that (2.5) holds;
- (4) for each neighbourhood X of x_0 and conical neighbourhood Γ of ξ_0 , there is an element $c = \varphi \otimes \psi$ where $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{x_0}(X)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{\xi_0}^{\text{dir}}(\Gamma)$, and elements $h \in \mathcal{S}$ and $b \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(1/\omega_0)}$ such that (2.5) holds. Furthermore, the supports of b and h are contained in $X \times \mathbf{R}^d$.

For the proof we note that μ in Proposition 2.3 is positive, which in turn implies that $\cap_{j \geq 0} S^{(\omega_0 \sigma - j\mu)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ agrees with $S^{-\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

Proof. The equivalence between (1) and (2) follows by letting $b(x, \xi) = \varphi(x)\psi(\xi)/a(x, \xi)$ for some appropriate $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{\xi_0}^{\text{dir}}(\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0)$.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is obvious in view of Remark 1.10. Assume that (3) holds. We shall prove that (1) holds, and since $|b| \leq C/\omega_0$, it suffices to prove that

$$|a(x, \xi)b(x, \xi)| \geq 1/2 \quad (2.6)$$

when

$$(x, \xi) \in X \times \Gamma, \quad |\xi| \geq R \quad (2.7)$$

holds for some conical neighbourhood Γ of ξ_0 , some open neighbourhood X of x_0 and some $R > 0$.

By Proposition 2.1 (1) it follows that $ab = c + h$ for some $h \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{-\mu}$. By choosing R large enough and Γ sufficiently small conical neighbourhood of ξ_0 , it follows that $c(x, \xi) = 1$ and $|h(x, \xi)| \leq 1/2$ when (2.7) holds. This gives (2.6), and (1) follows.

It remains to prove that (1) implies (4). Therefore assume that (1) holds, and choose an open neighbourhood X of x_0 , an open conical neighbourhood Γ of ξ_0 and $R > 0$ such that (1.14) holds when $(x, \xi) \in X \times \Gamma$ and $|\xi| > R$. Also let $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{C}_{x_0}(X)$ and $\psi_j \in \mathcal{C}_{\xi_0}^{\text{dir}}(\Gamma)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ be such that $\varphi_j = 1$ on $\text{supp } \varphi_{j+1}$, $\psi_j = 1$ on $\text{supp } \psi_{j+1}$ when $j = 1, 2$, and $\psi_j(\xi) = 0$ when $|\xi| \leq R$. We also set $c_j = \varphi_j \otimes \psi_j$ when $j \leq 2$ and $c_j = c_2$ when $j \geq 3$.

If $b_1(x, \xi) = \varphi_1(x)\psi_1(\xi)/a(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(1/\omega_0)}$, then the symbol of $\text{Op}(b_1)\text{Op}(a)$ is equal to $c_1 \pmod{S_{\rho,\delta}^{-\mu}}$. Hence

$$\text{Op}(b_j)\text{Op}(a) = \text{Op}(c_j) + \text{Op}(h_j) \quad (2.8)$$

holds for $j = 1$ and some $h_1 \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{-\mu}$.

For $j \geq 2$ we now define $\tilde{b}_j \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(1/\omega_0)}$ by the Neumann serie

$$\text{Op}(\tilde{b}_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (-1)^k \text{Op}(\tilde{r}_k),$$

where $\text{Op}(\tilde{r}_k) = \text{Op}(h_1)^k \text{Op}(b_1) \in \text{Op}(S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\sigma_{-k\mu}/\omega_0)})$. Then (2.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}(\tilde{b}_j) \text{Op}(a) &= \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (-1)^k \text{Op}(h_1)^k \text{Op}(b_1) \text{Op}(a) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (-1)^k \text{Op}(h_1)^k (\text{Op}(c_1) + \text{Op}(h_1)). \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\text{Op}(\tilde{b}_j) \text{Op}(a) = \text{Op}(c_1) + \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{1,j}) + \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{2,j}), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{1,j}) = (-1)^{j-1} \text{Op}(h_1)^j \in \text{Op}(S_{\rho,\delta}^{-j\mu}) \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{2,j}) = - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-1)^k \text{Op}(h_1)^k \text{Op}(1 - c_1) \in \text{Op}(S_{\rho,\delta}^{-\mu}).$$

By Proposition 2.1 (1) and asymptotic expansions it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{2,j}) &= - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-1)^k \text{Op}(1 - c_1) \text{Op}(h_1)^k \\ &\quad + \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{3,j}) + \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{4,j}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for some $\tilde{h}_{3,j} \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{-\mu}$ which is equal to zero in $\text{supp } c_1$ and $\tilde{h}_{4,j} \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{-j\mu}$. Now let b_j and r_k be defined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}(b_j) &= \text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(\tilde{b}_j) \in \text{Op}(S_{\rho,\delta}^{(1/\omega_0)}) \\ \text{Op}(r_k) &= \text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(\tilde{r}_k) \in \text{Op}(S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\sigma_{-k\mu}/\omega_0)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\text{Op}(b_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (-1)^k \text{Op}(r_k)$$

and (2.9)–(2.11) give

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}(b_j) \text{Op}(a) &= \text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(c_1) + \text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{1,j}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-1)^k \text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(1 - c_1) \text{Op}(h_1)^k + \text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{3,j}) + \text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{4,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_1 = 1$ and $\tilde{h}_{3,j} = 0$ on $\text{supp } c_2$, it follows that

$$\text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(c_1) = \text{Op}(c_2) \mod \text{Op}(S^{-\infty}),$$

$$\text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{1,j}) \in \text{Op}(S_{\rho,\delta}^{-j\mu}),$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-1)^k \text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(1 - c_1) \text{Op}(h_1)^k \in \text{Op}(S^{-\infty}),$$

$$\text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{3,j}) \in \text{Op}(S^{-\infty})$$

and

$$\text{Op}(c_2) \text{Op}(\tilde{h}_{4,j}) \in \text{Op}(S_{\rho,\delta}^{-j\mu}).$$

Hence, (2.8) follows for some $h_j \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{-j\mu}$.

By choosing $b_0 \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(1/\omega)}$ such that

$$b_0 \sim \sum r_k,$$

it follows that $\text{Op}(b_0) \text{Op}(a) = \text{Op}(c_2) + \text{Op}(h_0)$, with

$$h_0 \in S^{-\infty}.$$

The assertion (4) now follows by letting

$$b(x, \xi) = \varphi_3(x) b_0(x, \xi), \quad c(x, \xi) = \varphi_3(x) c_2(x, \xi),$$

$$\text{and } h(x, \xi) = \varphi_3(x) h_0(x, \xi),$$

and using the fact that if $\varphi_3 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $h_0 \in S^{-\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then $\varphi_3(x) h_0(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 2.4. By Proposition 2.3 it follows that Definition 1.3 in [27] is equivalent to Definition 1.8. We also remark that if a is an appropriate symbol, and $\text{Char}'(a)$ the set of characteristic points for a in the sense of [22, Definition 18.1.5], then $\text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a) \subseteq \text{Char}'(a)$. Furthermore, strict embedding might occur, especially for symbols to hypoelliptic partial operators with constant coefficients, which are not elliptic (cf. Example 3.11 in [27]).

3. WAVE FRONT SETS WITH RESPECT TO FOURIER BANACH SPACES

In this section we define wave-front sets with respect to Fourier Banach spaces, and show some basic properties.

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ be an open cone and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$. For any $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, let

$$|f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega, \Gamma)} = |f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega, \Gamma)_x} \equiv \|\widehat{f}\omega(x, \cdot)\chi_\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \quad (3.1)$$

We note that $\widehat{f}\omega(x, \cdot)\chi_\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}_{loc}$ for every $f \in \mathcal{E}'$. If $\widehat{f}\omega(x, \cdot)\chi_\Gamma \notin \mathcal{B}$, then we set $|f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega, \Gamma)} = +\infty$. Hence $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega, \Gamma)}$ defines a semi-norm on $\mathcal{E}'$ which might attain the value $+\infty$. Since ω is v -moderate for some $v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, it follows that different $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ gives rise to equivalent semi-norms. Furthermore, if $\Gamma = \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{FB}(\omega) \cap \mathcal{E}'$, then $|f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega, \Gamma)}$ agrees with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}$. For simplicity we write $|f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\Gamma)}$ instead of $|f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega, \Gamma)}$ when $\omega = 1$.

For the sake of notational convenience we set

$$|\cdot|_{\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)} = |\cdot|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega, \Gamma)_x}, \quad \text{when } \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{FB}(\omega). \quad (3.2)$$

We let $\Theta_{\mathcal{B}}(f) = \Theta_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$ be the set of all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that $|f|_{\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)} < \infty$, for some $\Gamma = \Gamma_\xi$. We also let $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ be the complement of $\Theta_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$. Then $\Theta_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ and $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ are open respectively closed subsets in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$, which are independent of the choice of $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ in (3.1).

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$, $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $\mathcal{B}$ be as in (3.2), and let X be an open subset of $\mathbf{R}^d$. The wave-front set of $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$, $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \equiv \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ consists of all pairs (x_0, ξ_0) in $X \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0)$ such that $\xi_0 \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi f)$ holds for each $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$ such that $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$.

We note that $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ in Definition 3.1 is a closed set in $X \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0)$, since it is obvious that its complement is open. We also note that if $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is fixed and $\omega_0(\xi) = \omega(x_0, \xi)$, then $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f) = \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega_0)}(f)$, since $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ is independent of x_0 .

The following theorem shows that wave-front sets with respect to $\mathcal{FB}(\omega)$ satisfy appropriate micro-local properties. It also shows that such wave-front sets decreases when the local Fourier BF-spaces increases, or when the weight ω decreases.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ be open, $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ be translation invariant BF-spaces, $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$. If $\mathcal{FB}_1(\omega_1)_{loc} \subseteq \mathcal{FB}_2(\omega_2)_{loc}$, then

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}_2(\omega_2)}(\varphi f) \subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}_1(\omega_1)}(f).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}_2}(\varphi f) \subseteq \Sigma_{\mathcal{B}_1}(f). \quad (3.3)$$

when $\mathcal{B}_j = \mathcal{FB}_j(\omega_j)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, since the statement only involve local assertions. The local properties and Remark 1.2 also imply that it is no restriction to assume that $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 1$.

Let $\xi_0 \in \Theta_{\mathcal{B}_2}(f)$, and choose open cones Γ_1 and Γ_2 in $\mathbf{R}^d$ such that $\overline{\Gamma_2} \subseteq \Gamma_1$. Since f has compact support, it follows that $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq C\langle \xi \rangle^{N_0}$ for some positive constants C and N_0 . The result therefore follows if

we prove that for each N , there are constants C_N such that

$$|\varphi f|_{\mathcal{B}_2(\Gamma_2)} \leq C_N \left(|f|_{\mathcal{B}_1(\Gamma_1)} + \sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d} (|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}) \right)$$

when $\overline{\Gamma_2} \subseteq \Gamma_1$ and $N = 1, 2, \dots$ (3.4)

By using the fact that ω is v -moderate for some $v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and letting $F(\xi) = |\widehat{f}(\xi)|$ and $\psi(\xi) = |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)|$, it follows that ψ turns rapidly to zero at infinity and

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi f|_{\mathcal{B}_2(\Gamma_2)} &= |\varphi f|_{\mathcal{FB}_2(\Gamma_2)} = \|\mathcal{F}(\varphi f)\chi_{\Gamma_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \\ &\leq C \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \widehat{\varphi}(\cdot - \eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta \right) \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leq C(J_1 + J_2) \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C , where

$$J_1 = \left\| \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} \widehat{\varphi}(\cdot - \eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta \right) \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$J_2 = \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{G}_{\Gamma_1}} \widehat{\varphi}(\cdot - \eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta \right) \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \quad (3.6)$$

and χ_{Γ_2} is the characteristic function of Γ_2 . First we estimate J_1 . By (3) in Definition 1.1 and (1.4), it follows for some constants $C_1, \dots, C_5$ that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq C_1 \left\| \int_{\Gamma_1} \widehat{\varphi}(\cdot - \eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} = C_1 \|\widehat{\varphi} * (\chi_{\Gamma_1} \widehat{f})\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \\ &= C_2 \|\varphi \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_{\Gamma_1} \widehat{f})\|_{\mathcal{FB}_2} \leq C_3 \|\varphi \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_{\Gamma_1} \widehat{f})\|_{\mathcal{FB}_1} \\ &= C_4 \|\widehat{\varphi} * (\chi_{\Gamma_1} \widehat{f})\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \leq C_5 \|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^1_{(v)}} \|\chi_{\Gamma_1} \widehat{f}\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} = C_\psi |f|_{\mathcal{FB}_1(\Gamma_1)}, \quad (3.7) \end{aligned}$$

where $C_\psi = C_5 \|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^1_{(v)}} < \infty$, since $\widehat{\varphi}$ turns rapidly to zero at infinity. In the second inequality we have used the fact that $(\mathcal{FB}_1)_{loc} \subseteq (\mathcal{FB}_2)_{loc}$.

In order to estimate J_2 , we note that the conditions $\xi \in \Gamma_2$, $\eta \notin \Gamma_1$ and the fact that $\overline{\Gamma_2} \subseteq \Gamma_1$ imply that $|\xi - \eta| > c \max(|\xi|, |\eta|)$ for some constant $c > 0$, since this is true when $1 = |\xi| \geq |\eta|$. We also note that if N_1 is large enough, then $\langle \cdot \rangle^{-N_1} \in \mathcal{B}_2$, because $\mathcal{S}$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{B}_2$. Since ψ turns rapidly to zero at infinity, it follows

that for each $N_0 > d + N_1$ and $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $N > N_0$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq C_1 \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbf{G}_{\Gamma_1}} \langle \cdot - \eta \rangle^{-(2N_0+N)} F(\eta) d\eta \right) \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \\
&\leq C_2 \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbf{G}_{\Gamma_1}} \langle \cdot \rangle^{-N_0} \langle \eta \rangle^{-N_0} (\langle \eta \rangle^{-N} F(\eta)) d\eta \right) \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \\
&\leq C_2 \int_{\mathbf{G}_{\Gamma_1}} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-N_0} \chi_{\Gamma_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \langle \eta \rangle^{-N_0} (|\langle \eta \rangle^{-N} F(\eta)|) d\eta \\
&\leq C \sup_{\eta \in \mathbf{R}^d} |\langle \eta \rangle^{-N} F(\eta)|, \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants C_1 and $C_2 > 0$, where $C = C_2 \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-N_0}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-N_0}\|_{L^1} < \infty$. This proves (3.4), and the result follows. $\square$

4. MAPPING PROPERTIES FOR PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON WAVE-FRONT SETS

In this section we establish mapping properties for pseudo-differential operators on wave-front sets of Fourier Banach types. More precisely, we prove the following result (cf. (0.1)):

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\rho > 0$, $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,0}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $a \in S_{\rho,0}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Also let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)f) &\subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f) \\
&\subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)f) \cup \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a). \quad (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

We shall mainly follow the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [27]. The following restatement of Proposition 3.2 in [27] shows that $(x_0, \xi) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)f)$ when $x_0 \notin \text{supp } f$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho$, $0 < \rho$, $\delta < 1$, and let $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Also let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space, and let the operator L_a on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be defined by the formula*

$$(L_a f)(x) \equiv \varphi_1(x) (\text{Op}(a)(\varphi_2 f))(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d), \quad (4.2)$$

where $\varphi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\varphi_2 \in S_{0,0}^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are such that

$$\text{supp } \varphi_1 \cap \text{supp } \varphi_2 = \emptyset.$$

Then the kernel of L_a belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. In particular, the following is true:

- (1) $L_a = \text{Op}(a_0)$ for some $a_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$;
- (2) $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(L_a f) = \emptyset$.

Next we consider properties of the wave-front set of $\text{Op}(a)f$ at a fixed point when f is concentrated to that point.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\rho, \omega, \omega_0, a$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be as in Theorem 4.1. Also let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then the following is true:*

- (1) *if $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ are open cones such that $\overline{\Gamma_2} \subseteq \Gamma_1$, and $|f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega, \Gamma_1)} < \infty$, then $|\text{Op}(a)f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0, \Gamma_2)} < \infty$;*
- (2) *$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)f) \subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$.*

We note that $\text{Op}(a)f$ in Proposition 4.3 makes sense as an element in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, by Proposition 1.7.

Proof. We shall mainly follow the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [27]. We may assume that $\omega(x, \xi) = \omega(\xi)$, $\omega_0(x, \xi) = \omega_0(\xi)$, and that $\text{supp } a \subseteq K \times \mathbf{R}^d$ for some compact set $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$, since the statements only involve local assertions.

Let $F(\xi) = |\widehat{f}(\xi)\omega(\xi)|$, and let $\mathcal{F}_1 a$ denote the partial Fourier transform of $a(x, \xi)$ with respect to the x variable. By straightforward computation, for arbitrary N we have

$$|\mathcal{F}(\text{Op}(a)f)(\xi)\omega(\xi)/\omega_0(\xi)| \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-N} F(\eta) d\eta, \quad (4.3)$$

for some constant C (cf. (3.6) and (3.8) in [27]).

We have to estimate

$$|(\text{Op}(a)f)|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0, \Gamma_2)} = \|\mathcal{F}(\text{Op}(a)f)\omega/\omega_0\chi_{\Gamma_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

By (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(\text{Op}(a)f)\omega/\omega_0\chi_{\Gamma_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}} &\leq C \left\| \left(\int \langle \cdot - \eta \rangle^{-N} F(\eta) d\eta \right) \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C(J_1 + J_2), \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant and

$$J_1 = \left\| \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} \langle \cdot - \eta \rangle^{-N} F(\eta) d\eta \right) \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

and

$$J_2 = \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{G}_{\Gamma_1}} \langle \cdot - \eta \rangle^{-N} F(\eta) d\eta \right) \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

In order to estimate J_1 and J_2 we argue as in the proof of (3.4). More precisely, by (1.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq \left\| \int_{\Gamma_1} \langle \cdot - \eta \rangle^{-N} F(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-N} * (\chi_{\Gamma_1} F)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-N}\|_{L^1_{(v)}} \|\chi_{\Gamma_1} F\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate J_2 . Since $\overline{\Gamma_2} \subseteq \Gamma_1$, we get

$$|\xi - \eta| \geq c \max(|\xi|, |\eta|), \quad \text{when } \xi \in \Gamma_2, \text{ and } \eta \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma_1,$$

for some constant $c > 0$. (Cf. the proof of Proposition 3.3.)

Since f has compact support, it follows that $F(\eta) \leq C\langle \eta \rangle^{t_1}$ for some constant C . By combining these estimates we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}\Gamma_1} F(\eta) \langle \cdot - \eta \rangle^{-N} d\eta \right) \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}\Gamma_1} \langle \eta \rangle^{t_1} \langle \cdot \rangle^{-N/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{-N/2} d\eta \right) \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-N/2} \chi_{\Gamma_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{\mathbb{C}\Gamma_1} \langle \eta \rangle^{-N/2+t_1} d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we choose N sufficiently large, it follows that the right-hand side is finite. This proves (1).

The assertion (2) follows immediately from (1) and the definitions. The proof is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Proposition 2.1 it is no restriction to assume that $t = 0$. We start to prove the first inclusion in (4.1). Assume that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$, let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be such that $\chi = 1$ in a neighborhood of x_0 , and set $\chi_1 = 1 - \chi$ and $a_0(x, \xi) = \chi(x)a(x, \xi)$. Then it follows from Proposition 4.2 that

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)(\chi_1 f)).$$

Furthermore, by Proposition 4.3 we get

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a_0)(\chi f)),$$

which implies that

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)(\chi f)),$$

since $\text{Op}(a)(\chi f)$ is equal to $\text{Op}(a_0)(\chi f)$ near x_0 . The result is now a consequence of the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)f) \\ &\subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)(\chi f)) \cup \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)(\chi_1 f)). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the last inclusion in (4.1). By Proposition 4.2 it follows that it is no restriction to assume that f has compact support. Assume that

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)f) \cup \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a),$$

and choose b , c and h as in Proposition 2.3 (4). We shall prove that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f)$. Since

$$f = \text{Op}(1 - c)f + \text{Op}(b) \text{Op}(a)f - \text{Op}(h)f,$$

the result follows if we prove

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \notin S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3,$$

where

$$S_1 = \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(\text{Op}(1-c)f), \quad S_2 = \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(\text{Op}(b)\text{Op}(a)f)$$

$$\text{and } S_3 = \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(\text{Op}(h)f).$$

We start to consider S_2 . By the first embedding in (4.1) it follows that

$$S_2 = \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(\text{Op}(b)\text{Op}(a)f) \subseteq \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)f).$$

Since we have assumed that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)f)$, it follows that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin S_2$.

Next we consider S_3 . Since $h \in \mathcal{S}$, it follows that $\text{Op}(h)f \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence S_3 is empty.

Finally we consider S_1 . By the assumptions it follows that $c_0 = 1 - c$ is zero in Γ , and by replacing Γ with a smaller cone, if necessary, we may assume that $c_0 = 0$ in a conical neighborhood of Γ . Hence, if $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$, J_1 and J_2 are the same as in the proof of Proposition 4.3, then it follows from that proof and the fact that $c_0(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho,0}^0$ is compactly supported in the x -variable, that $J_1 < +\infty$, and that for each $N \geq 0$, there are constants C_N and C'_N such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Op}(c_0)f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0, \Gamma_2)} &\leq C_N(J_1 + J_2) \\ &\leq C'_N \left(J_1 + \left\| \int_{\mathbf{G}\Gamma_1} \langle \cdot \rangle^{-N} \langle \eta \rangle^{-N} d\eta \chi_{\Gamma_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

By choosing N large enough, it follows that

$$|\text{Op}(c_0)f|_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0, \Gamma_2)} < \infty.$$

This proves that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin S_1$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 4.4. We note that the statements in Theorems 4.1 are not true if $\omega_0 = 1$ and the assumption $\rho > 0$ is replaced by $\rho = 0$. (Cf. Remark 3.7 in [27].)

Next we apply Theorem 4.1 on operators which are elliptic with respect to $S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, where $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. More precisely, assume that $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$ and $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Then a and $\text{Op}(a)$ are called (locally) *elliptic* with respect to $S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ or ω_0 , if for each compact set $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$, there are positive constants c and R such that

$$|a(x, \xi)| \geq c\omega_0(x, \xi), \quad x \in K, \quad |\xi| \geq R.$$

Since $|a(x, \xi)| \leq C\omega_0(x, \xi)$, it follows from the definitions that for each multi-index α , there are constants $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} |a(x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\beta| + \delta|\alpha|}, \quad x \in K, \quad |\xi| > R,$$

when a is elliptic. (See e. g. [2, 22].)

It immediately follows from the definitions that $\text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a) = \emptyset$ when a is elliptic with respect to ω_0 . The following result is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,0}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $\rho > 0$, and let $a \in S_{\rho,0}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be elliptic with respect to ω_0 . Also let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space. If $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then*

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega/\omega_0)}(\text{Op}(a)f) = \text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}(\omega)}(f).$$

5. WAVE-FRONT SETS OF SUP AND INF TYPES AND PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

In this section we put the micro-local analysis in a more general context compared to previous sections, and define wave-front sets with respect to sequences of Fourier BF-spaces.

Let $\omega_j \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $\mathcal{B}_j$ be translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ when j belongs to some index set J , and consider the array of spaces, given by

$$(\mathcal{B}_j) \equiv (\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in J}, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{B}_j = \mathcal{FB}_j(\omega_j), \quad j \in J. \quad (5.1)$$

If $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and $(\mathcal{B}_j)$ is given by (5.1), then we let $\Theta_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{sup}}(f)$ be the set of all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that for some $\Gamma = \Gamma_\xi$ and *each* $j \in J$ it holds $|f|_{\mathcal{B}_j(\Gamma)} < \infty$. We also let $\Theta_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{inf}}(f)$ be the set of all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that for some $\Gamma = \Gamma_\xi$ and *some* $j \in J$ it holds $|f|_{\mathcal{B}_j(\Gamma)} < \infty$. Finally we let $\Sigma_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{sup}}(f)$ and $\Sigma_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{inf}}(f)$ be the complements in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ of $\Theta_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{sup}}(f)$ and $\Theta_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{inf}}(f)$ respectively.

Definition 5.1. Let J be an index set, $\mathcal{B}_j$ be translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$, $\omega_j \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ when $j \in J$, $(\mathcal{B}_j)$ be as in (5.1), and let X be an open subset of $\mathbf{R}^d$.

- (1) The wave-front set of $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$, $\text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{sup}}(f) = \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{FB}_j(\omega_j))}^{\text{sup}}(f)$, of *sup-type* with respect to $(\mathcal{B}_j)$, consists of all pairs (x_0, ξ_0) in $X \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0)$ such that $\xi_0 \in \Sigma_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{sup}}(\varphi f)$ holds for each $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$ such that $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$;
- (2) The wave-front set of $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$, $\text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{inf}}(f) = \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{FB}_j(\omega_j))}^{\text{inf}}(f)$, of *inf-type* with respect to $(\mathcal{B}_j)$, consists of all pairs (x_0, ξ_0) in $X \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0)$ such that $\xi_0 \in \Sigma_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{inf}}(\varphi f)$ holds for each $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(X)$ such that $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$.

Remark 5.2. Let $\omega_j(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^{-j}$ for $j \in J = \mathbf{N}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_j = L^{q_j}$, where $q_j \in [1, \infty]$. Then it follows that $\text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j)}^{\text{sup}}(f)$ in Definition 5.1 is equal to the standard wave front set $\text{WF}(f)$ in Chapter VIII in [22].

The following result follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 and its proof. We omit the details.

Theorem 4.1'. *Let $\rho > 0$, $\omega_j \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ for $j \in J$, $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,0}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $a \in S_{\rho,0}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Also let $\mathcal{B}_j$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ for every j . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j/\omega_0))}^{\sup}(\text{Op}(a)f) &\subseteq \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j))}^{\sup}(f) \\ &\subseteq \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j/\omega_0))}^{\sup}(\text{Op}(a)f) \cup \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)'$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j/\omega_0))}^{\inf}(\text{Op}(a)f) &\subseteq \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j))}^{\inf}(f) \\ &\subseteq \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j/\omega_0))}^{\inf}(\text{Op}(a)f) \cup \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)''$$

The following generalization of Theorem 4.5 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1', since $\text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a) = \emptyset$, when a is elliptic with respect to ω_0 .

Theorem 4.5'. *Let $\rho > 0$, $\omega_j \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ for $j \in J$, $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,0}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and let $a \in S_{\rho,0}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be elliptic with respect to ω_0 . Also let $\mathcal{B}_j$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ for every j . If $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then*

$$\text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j/\omega_0))}^{\sup}(\text{Op}(a)f) = \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j))}^{\sup}(f)$$

and

$$\text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j/\omega_0))}^{\inf}(\text{Op}(a)f) = \text{WF}_{(\mathcal{B}_j(\omega_j))}^{\inf}(f).$$

Remark 5.3. We note that many properties valid for the wave-front sets of Fourier Banach type also hold for wave-front sets in the present section. For example, the conclusions in Remark 4.4 hold for wave-front sets of sup- and inf-types.

Finally we remark that there are some technical generalizations of Theorem 4.1 which involve pseudo-differential operators with symbols in $S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ with $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$. From these generalizations it follows that

$$\text{WF}(\text{Op}(a)f) \subseteq \text{WF}(f) \subseteq \text{WF}(\text{Op}(a)f) \cup \text{Char}_{(\omega_0)}(a),$$

when $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$, $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{(\omega_0)}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. (Cf. Theorem 5.3' and Theorem 5.5 in [27].)

6. WAVE FRONT SETS WITH RESPECT TO MODULATION SPACES

In this section we define wave-front sets with respect to modulation spaces, and show that they coincide with wave-front sets of Fourier Banach types. In particular, all micro-local properties for pseudo-differential operators in the previous sections carry over to wave-front sets of modulation space types.

We start with defining general types of modulation spaces. Let (the window) $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ be fixed, and let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then the short-time Fourier transform $V_\phi f$ is the element in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, defined by the formula

$$(V_\phi f)(x, \xi) \equiv \mathcal{F}(f \cdot \overline{\phi(\cdot - x)})(\xi).$$

We usually assume that $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and in this case the short-time Fourier transform $(V_\phi f)$ takes the form

$$(V_\phi f)(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(y) \overline{\phi(y - x)} e^{-i\langle y, \xi \rangle} dy,$$

when $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Now let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, with respect to $v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Also let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be such that ω is v -moderate. Then the modulation space $M(\omega) = M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $V_\phi f \cdot \omega \in \mathcal{B}$. We note that $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})} \equiv \|V_\phi f \omega\|_{\mathcal{B}} \quad (6.1)$$

(cf. [7]).

Remark 6.1. Assume that $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and let $L_1^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $L_2^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be the sets of all $F \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that

$$\|F\|_{L_1^{p,q}} \equiv \left(\int \left(\int |F(x, \xi)|^p dx \right)^{q/p} d\xi \right)^{1/q} < \infty$$

and

$$\|F\|_{L_2^{p,q}} \equiv \left(\int \left(\int |F(x, \xi)|^p d\xi \right)^{q/p} dx \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

respectively (with obvious modifications when $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$). Then $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ is equal to the usual modulation space $M_{(\omega)}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ when $\mathcal{B} = L_1^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. If instead $\mathcal{B} = L_2^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ is equal to the space $W_{(\omega)}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, related to Wiener-amalgam spaces.

In the following proposition we list some important properties for modulation spaces. We refer to [14] for the proof.

Proposition 6.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$ with respect to $v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and that $\omega_0, v_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ are such that ω is v -moderate. Then the following is true:*

- (1) *if $\phi \in M_{(v_0 v)}^1(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$, then $f \in M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$ if and only if $V_\phi f \omega \in \mathcal{B}$. Furthermore, (6.1) defines a norm on $M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$, and different choices of ϕ gives rise to equivalent norms;*
- (2) *$M_{(v_0 v)}^1(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq M(\omega, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq M_{(1/(v_0 v))}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

The following generalization of Theorem 2.1 in [29] shows that modulation spaces are locally the same as translation invariant Fourier BF-spaces. We recall that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, then it follows from Proposition 1.4 that

$$\mathcal{B}_0 \equiv \{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d); \varphi \otimes f \in \mathcal{B} \} \quad (6.2)$$

is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^d$ which is independent of the choice of φ .

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$, $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, and let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be as in (6.2). Also let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and $\omega_0(\xi) = \omega(x_0, \xi)$, for some fixed $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Then*

$$M(\omega, \mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^d) = \mathcal{FB}_0(\omega_0) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

Furthermore, if $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ is compact, then

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{FB}_0(\omega_0)} \leq \|f\|_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{FB}_0(\omega_0)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{E}'(K), \quad (6.3)$$

for some constant C which only depends on K .

We need the following lemma for the proof.

Lemma 6.4. *Assume that $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then the following is true:*

- (1) *if $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then there exists $0 \leq \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that*

$$(V_\phi f)(x, \xi) = \varphi(x) (\widehat{f * (\mathcal{F}(\overline{\phi(\cdot - x)}))})(\xi); \quad (6.4)$$

- (2) *if $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then there exists $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that*

$$(\varphi \otimes \widehat{f})(x, \xi) = \varphi(x) V_\phi f(x, \xi). \quad (6.5)$$

Proof. (1) Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ be equal to $(2\pi)^{d/2}$ in a compact set containing the support of the map $x \mapsto V_\phi f(x, \xi)$. Then (1) is a straight-forward consequence of Fourier's inversion formula.

The assertion (2) follows by choosing $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ such that $\phi = 1$ on $\text{supp } f - \text{supp } \varphi$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 6.3. We may assume that $\omega = \omega_0 = 1$ in view of Remark 1.2. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{E}'$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$. From (2) of Lemma 6.4 it follows that there exists $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ such that

$$\|f\|_{M(\mathcal{B})} = \|V_\phi f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \|\varphi \otimes \widehat{f}\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathcal{B}_0},$$

and (6.3) follows. The proof is complete. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ be fixed, $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ be an open cone, and let $\chi_\Gamma(x, \xi) = \chi_\Gamma(\xi)$ be the characteristic function of Γ . For any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we set

$$|f|_{\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)} = |f|_{M(\omega, \Gamma, \mathcal{B})} = |f|_{M^\phi(\omega, \Gamma, \mathcal{B})} \equiv \|(V_\phi f)\omega\chi_\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}} \quad \text{when } \mathcal{B} = M(\omega, \mathcal{B}). \quad (6.6)$$

We note that $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)}$ defines a semi-norm on $\mathcal{S}'$ which might attain the value $+\infty$. If $\Gamma = \mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$, then $|f|_{\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)} = \|f\|_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$, and let $\mathcal{B} = M(\omega, \mathcal{B})$. Then $\Theta_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$, $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ and the wave-front set $\text{WF}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ of f with respect to the modulation space $\mathcal{B}$ are defined in the same way as in Section 3, after replacing the semi-norms of Fourier Banach types in (3.2) with the semi-norms in (6.6).

In Theorem 6.9 below we prove that wave-front sets of Fourier BF-spaces and modulation space types agree with each others. As a first step we prove that $\text{WF}_{M^\phi(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$ is independent of ϕ in (6.6).

Proposition 6.5. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ be open, $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Then $\text{WF}_{M^\phi(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$ is independent of the window function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$.*

We need some preparation for the proof, and start with the following lemma. We omit the proof (the result can be found in [3]).

Lemma 6.6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then for some constant N_0 and every $N \geq 0$, there are constants C_N such that*

$$|V_\phi f(x, \xi)| \leq C_N \langle x \rangle^{-N} \langle \xi \rangle^{N_0}.$$

The following result can be found in [14]. Here $\widehat{*}$ is the twisted convolution, given by the formula

$$(F \widehat{*} G)(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \iint F(x - y, \xi - \eta) G(y, \eta) e^{-i\langle x - y, \eta \rangle} dy d\eta,$$

when $F, G \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. The definition of $\widehat{*}$ extends in such way that one may permit one of F and G to belong to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and in this case it follows that $F \widehat{*} G$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}' \cap C^\infty$.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\phi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Then*

$$(V_{\phi_1} f) \widehat{*} (V_{\phi_2} \phi_3) = (\phi_3, \phi_1)_{L^2} \cdot V_{\phi_2} f.$$

Proof of Proposition 6.5. We assume that $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and that $\omega(x, \xi) = \omega(\xi)$, since the statements only involve local assertions. Assume that $\phi, \phi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ and let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be open cones in $\mathbf{R}^d$ such that $\overline{\Gamma_2} \subseteq \Gamma_1$. The assertion follows if we prove that

$$|f|_{M^\phi(\omega, \Gamma_2, \mathcal{B})} \leq C(|f|_{M^{\phi_1}(\omega, \Gamma_1, \mathcal{B})} + 1) \quad (6.7)$$

for some constant C .

When proving (6.7) we shall mainly follow the proof of (3.4). Let $v \in \mathcal{P}$ be chosen such that ω is v -moderate, and let

$$\Omega_1 = \{(x, \xi); \xi \in \Gamma_1\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{2d} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2 = \mathbb{L}\Omega_1 \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{2d},$$

with characteristic functions χ_1 and χ_2 respectively. Also set

$$F_k(x, \xi) = |V_{\phi_1} f(x, \xi) \omega(\xi) \chi_k(x, \xi)| \quad \text{and} \quad G = |V_\phi \phi_1(x, \xi) v(\xi)|.$$

By Lemma 6.7, and the fact that ω is v -moderate we get

$$|V_\phi f(x, \xi)\omega(x, \xi)| \leq C((F_1 + F_2) * G)(x, \xi),$$

for some constant C , which implies that

$$|f|_{M^\phi(\omega, \Gamma_2, \mathcal{B})} \leq C(J_1 + J_2), \quad (6.8)$$

where

$$J_k = \|(F_k * G)\chi_{\Gamma_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

and $\chi_{\Gamma_2}(x, \xi) = \chi_{\Gamma_2}(\xi)$ is the characteristic function of Γ_2 . Since G turns rapidly to zero at infinity, (1.4) gives

$$J_1 \leq \|F_1 * G\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \|G\|_{L^1_{(v)}} \|F_1\|_{\mathcal{B}} = C|f|_{M^{\phi_1}(\omega, \Gamma_1, \mathcal{B})}, \quad (6.9)$$

where $C = \|G\|_{L^1_{(v)}}$.

Next we consider J_2 . Since, for each $N \geq 0$, there are constants C_N such that

$$F_2(x, \xi) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2N} \leq C_N \langle \xi \rangle^{-N} \langle \eta \rangle^{-N}$$

when $\xi \in \Gamma_2$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma_1$, it follows from Lemma 6.6 and the computations in (3.8) that

$$(F_2 * G)(x, \xi) \leq C_N \langle x \rangle^{-N} \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}, \quad \xi \in \Gamma_2.$$

Consequently, $J_2 < \infty$. The estimate (6.7) is now a consequence of (6.8), (6.9) and the fact that $J_2 < \infty$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Since $\text{WF}_{M^\phi(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$ is independent of ϕ we usually omit ϕ and write $\text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f)$ instead. We are now able to prove the following.

Proposition 6.8. *Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, $\mathcal{B}_0$ is given by (6.2), $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus 0$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Also assume that $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then*

$$\Theta_{M^\phi(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f) = \Theta_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}_0(\omega)}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{M^\phi(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f) = \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}_0(\omega)}(f). \quad (6.10)$$

Proof. We may assume that $\omega = 1$ in view of Lemma 1.2. Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be open cones in $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus 0$ such that $\overline{\Gamma_2} \subseteq \Gamma_1$, let $\chi_{\Gamma_2}(x, \xi) = \chi_{\Gamma_2}(\xi)$ be the characteristic function of Γ_2 , and let φ and ϕ be chosen such that (1) in Lemma 6.4 is fulfilled.

By (6.4) it follows that

$$|V_\phi f(x, \xi)| \leq \varphi(x)(|\widehat{f}| * |\mathcal{F}\check{\phi}|)(\xi).$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} |f|_{M^\phi(\Gamma_2, \mathcal{B})} &= |V_\phi f \chi_{\Gamma_2}|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C|\varphi \otimes ((|\widehat{f}| * |\mathcal{F}\check{\phi}|)\chi_{\Gamma_2})|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= C(|\widehat{f}| * |\mathcal{F}\check{\phi}|)\chi_{\Gamma_2}|_{\mathcal{B}_0} \leq C(J_1 + J_2), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C , where J_1 and J_2 are the same as in (3.5) and (3.6) with $\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}_0$, $\psi = |\mathcal{F}\check{\phi}|$ and $F = |\widehat{f}|$.

A combination of the latter estimate, (3.7) and (3.8) now gives that for each $N \geq 0$, there is a constant C_N such that

$$|f|_{M^\phi(\Gamma_2, \mathcal{B})} \leq C_N \left(|f|_{\mathcal{FB}_0} + \sup_{\xi} |\widehat{f}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}| \right).$$

Hence, by choosing N large enough it follows that $|f|_{M^\phi(\Gamma_2, \mathcal{B})}$ is finite when $|f|_{\mathcal{FB}_0} < \infty$. Consequently,

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{FB}_0}(f) \subseteq \Theta_{M(\mathcal{B})}(f). \quad (6.11)$$

In order to get a reversed inclusion we choose φ and ϕ such that Lemma 6.4 (2) is fulfilled. Then (6.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |f|_{\mathcal{FB}_0(\Gamma)} &= \|\varphi \otimes (\widehat{f} \chi_\Gamma)\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \|(\varphi \otimes 1)(V_\phi f \chi_\Gamma)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C_1 \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|V_\phi f \chi_\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}} = C_2 |f|_{M^\phi(\Gamma, \mathcal{B})}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. This proves that (6.11) holds with reversed inclusion. The proof is complete. $\square$

The following result is now an immediate consequence of Proposition 6.8.

Theorem 6.9. *Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a translation invariant BF-space on $\mathbf{R}^{2d}$, $\mathcal{B}_0$ is given by (6.2), $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^d$ is open and that $f \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$. Then*

$$\text{WF}_{\mathcal{FB}_0(\omega)}(f) = \text{WF}_{M(\omega, \mathcal{B})}(f).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Baoxiang, H. Chunyan *Frequency-uniform decomposition method for the generalized BO, KdV and NLS equations*, J. Differential Equations, **239** (2007), 213–250.
- [2] P. Boggiatto, E. Buzano, L. Rodino *Global Hypoellipticity and Spectral Theory*, Mathematical Research, 92, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [3] E. Cordero, K. Gröchenig, *Time-frequency analysis of localization operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **205**(1) (2003), 107–131.
- [4] W. Czaja, Z. Rzeszutnik *Pseudodifferential operators and Gabor frames: spectral asymptotics*, Math. Nachr. **233-234** (2002), 77–88.
- [5] H. G. Feichtinger *Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups. Technical report*, University of Vienna, Vienna, 1983; also in: M. Krishna, R. Radha, S. Thangavelu (Eds) *Wavelets and their applications*, Allied Publishers Private Limited, NewDehli Mumbai Kolkata Chennai Hagpur Ahmedabad Bangalore Hyderabad Lucknow, 2003, pp. 99–140.
- [6] ——— *Wiener amalgams over Euclidean spaces and some of their applications*, in: *Function spaces* (Edwardsville, IL, 1990), Lect. Notes in pure and appl. math., 136, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992, pp. 123137.

- [7] H. G. Feichtinger and K. H. Gröchenig *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I*, J. Funct. Anal., **86** (1989), 307–340.
- [8] ——— *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, II*, Monatsh. Math., **108** (1989), 129–148.
- [9] ——— *Gabor frames and time-frequency analysis of distributions*, J. Functional Anal., **146** (1997), 464–495.
- [10] ——— *Modulation spaces: Looking back and ahead*, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process. **5** (2006), 109–140.
- [11] G. B. Folland *Harmonic analysis in phase space*, Princeton U. P., Princeton, 1989.
- [12] P. Gröbner *Banachräume Glatter Funktionen und Zerlegungsmethoden*, Thesis, University of Vienna, Vienna, 1992.
- [13] K. H. Gröchenig *Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames*, Monatsh. Math., **112** (1991), 1–42.
- [14] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [15] ——— *Composition and spectral invariance of pseudodifferential operators on modulation spaces*, J. Anal. Math., **98** (2006), 65–82.
- [16] K. H. Gröchenig and C. Heil *Modulation spaces and pseudo-differential operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **34** (1999), 439–457.
- [17] ——— *Modulation spaces as symbol classes for pseudodifferential operators* in: M. Krishna, R. Radha, S. Thangavelu (Eds) *Wavelets and their applications*, Allied Publishers Private Limited, NewDehli Mumbai Kolkata Chennai Hagpur Ahmedabad Bangalore Hyderabad Lucknow, 2003, pp. 151–170.
- [18] ——— *Counterexamples for boundedness of pseudodifferential operators*, Osaka J. Math., **41** (2004), 681–691.
- [19] K. Gröchenig, M. Leinert *Wiener’s lemma for twisted convolution and Gabor frames*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **17** (2004), 1–18.
- [20] F. Hérau *Melin–Hörmander inequality in a Wiener type pseudo-differential algebra*, Ark. Mat., **39** (2001), 311–38.
- [21] A. Holst, J. Toft, P. Wahlberg *Weyl product algebras and modulation spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **251** (2007), 463–491.
- [22] L. Hörmander *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, vol I–III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg NewYork Tokyo, 1983, 1985.
- [23] ——— *Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [24] K. Okoudjou *Embeddings of some classical Banach spaces into modulation spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **132** (2004), 1639–1647.
- [25] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov *On a symbol class of Elliptic Pseudodifferential Operators*, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts, **27** (2002), 57–68.
- [26] ——— *Pseudodifferential operators on ultra-modulation spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **208** (2004), 194–228.
- [27] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, *Micro-local analysis in Fourier Lebesgue and modulation spaces. Part I*, preprint, in arXiv:0804.1730, 2008.
- [28] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, *Micro-local analysis in Fourier Lebesgue and modulation spaces. Part II*, preprint, in arXiv:0805.4476, 2008.
- [29] M. Ruzhansky, m. Sugimoto, N. Tomita, J. Toft *Changes of variables in modulation and Wiener amalgam spaces*, Preprint, 2008, Available at arXiv:0803.3485v1.
- [30] J. Sjöstrand *An algebra of pseudodifferential operators*, Math. Res. L., **1** (1994), 185–192.

- [31] ——— *Wiener type algebras of pseudodifferential operators*, Séminaire Equations aux Dérivées Partielles, Ecole Polytechnique, 1994/1995, Exposé n° IV.
- [32] M. Sugimoto, N. Tomita *The dilation property of modulation spaces and their inclusion relation with Besov Spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. (1), **248** (2007), 79–106.
- [33] K. Tachizawa *The boundedness of pseudo-differential operators on modulation spaces*, Math. Nachr., **168** (1994), 263–277.
- [34] N. Teofanov *Ultramodulation spaces and pseudodifferential operators*, Endowment Andrejević, Beograd, 2003.
- [35] ——— *Modulation spaces, Gelfand-Shilov spaces and pseudodifferential operators*, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process, **5** (2006), 225–242.
- [36] J. Toft *Continuity properties for modulation spaces with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, I*, J. Funct. Anal., **207** (2004), 399–429.
- [37] ——— *Convolution and embeddings for weighted modulation spaces* in: P. Boggiatto, R. Ashino, M. W. Wong (Eds) *Advances in Pseudo-Differential Operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **155**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2004, pp. 165–186.
- [38] ——— *Continuity properties for modulation spaces with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, II*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., **26** (2004), 73–106.
- [39] ——— *Continuity and Schatten-von Neumann Properties for Pseudo-Differential Operators and Toeplitz operators on Modulation Spaces*, The Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics, Preprint ESI **1732** (2005).
- [40] ——— *Continuity and Schatten properties for pseudo-differential operators on modulation spaces* in: J. Toft, M. W. Wong, H. Zhu (Eds) *Modern Trends in Pseudo-Differential Operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **172**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007, pp. 173–206.
- [41] M. W. Wong *An Introduction To Pseudodifferential Operators* 2nd Edition, World Scientific, 1999.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TURIN'S UNIVERSITY, ITALY
E-mail address: `sandro.coriasco@unito.it`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS ENGINEERING, VÄXJÖ UNIVERSITY, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `karoline.johansson@vxu.se`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS ENGINEERING, VÄXJÖ UNIVERSITY, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `joachim.toft@vxu.se`